



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,638	01/22/2002	Robert P. Ryall	01-059-A	9398

7590 05/11/2011
T. HELEN PAYNE
AVENTIS PASTEUR, INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
ONE DISCOVERY DRIVE
SWIFTWATER, PA 18370

EXAMINER

DEVI, SARVAMANGALA J N

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1645

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/11/2011

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/054,638	Applicant(s) RYALL, ROBERT P.	
	Examiner S. DEVI	Art Unit 1645	

All Participants:

 (1) S. DEVI.

 (2) Attorney Thomas Bordner.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 5 May 2011
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: ____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Attorney Bordner was informed that due to a system glitch, the italicization of the replaced limitation 'Neisseria meningitidis' in claim 18 in the Examiner's amendment dated 03/24/11 was removed when the action was scanned into the system. Since the sytem glitch is being fixed, attorney Bordner kindly agreed to fix this via an amendment.

/S. Devi/
Primary Examiner
AU 1645

05-05-2011